

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/071,634                               | 02/07/2002      | Jerry Shifman        | 94-25b              | 2618             |
| 30699                                    | 7590 10/14/2003 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DAYCO PRODUCTS, LLC                      |                 |                      | AFTERGUT, JEFF H    |                  |
| 1 PRESTIGE PLACE<br>MIAMISBURG, OH 45342 |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|  | •               |                      | 1733                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/071,634   | SHIFMAN ET AL.   |
| nancery nearen  | Examin r   | Art Unit   |
|   | Jeff H. Aftergut   | 1733   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | roid abandonment of this applica<br>a timely filed amendment which<br>(with appeal fee); or (3) a timely   | ation. A proper reply to a   |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of   |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |  |
| (a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | elow);   |  |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceling   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |  |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | ion(s):  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | parate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | dered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to  | o issues which were newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  | -  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-35, 37-51</u> .  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi  | roved by the Examiner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |
| 10. ☐ Other:  | ,  | <del></del>  |
|   |  | Jeff H: Aftergut<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1733   |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant has amended all of the independent claims to recite either that the hoses are "fuel transport" hoses or "fuel filler" hoses which limitatin would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant is advised that there appears to be no reason why these changes were not earlier presented.